## Search Committee Candidate Review RUBRIC

## Candidate:

QUALIFICATION	STRONG (3)	GOOD (2)	WEAK (1)
Cover letter and application includes evidence of DEIB awareness & practice	• specific examples are provided in a variety of areas appropriate to the position; application demonstrates awareness of equitable and inclusive language and practices in higher ed.	• a few examples are provided, yet may not be relevant to the position; some DEIB examples are somewhat aspirational; application shows some awareness of equitable and inclusive language and practices in higher ed.	• little or no examples are provided; DEIB examples are more aspirational than actual; application shows little awareness of equitable and inclusive language and practices in higher ed.
Insert add'l Qualification	what constitutes strength in this area?	<ul><li>what is adequate for this area?</li></ul>	<ul><li>what defines weakness in this area?</li></ul>
Insert add'l Qualification	what constitutes strength in this area?	• what is adequate for this area?	• what defines weakness in this area?
Insert add'l Qualification	what constitutes strength in this area?	• what is adequate for this area?	what defines     weakness in this area?

## **Using the RUBRIC**

Search committees can customize this rubric in any way that is useful for the review of candidates. Some committees use it as an initial screening process and/or to start meaningful discussions around the initial review. Others, actually employ a scoring system to determine semifinalists and finalists. Although this is not the only evaluative mechanism or meant to be a rigid one, the rubric is offered to help committees come to a common objective understanding of how they want to measure candidates in evaluating their qualifications. If scoring is used or when there are a large number of candidates, some committees will determine a minimum floor for candidates they want to interview and/or move to the next step.